UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,248	05/31/2006	Tatsuya Shogaki	ARC.018.0026.PC	1793
65181 MOTS LAW, P	7590 03/03/200 PLLC	9	EXAMINER	
1629 K STREE			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L	
SUITE 602 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1635		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,248	SHOGAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT	2872	

	JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201100			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-63</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a No	stice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	/Joshua L Pritchett/ Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant presented new claims including previously unsearched limitations. A new search is required to determine patentability of the new claims.